arXiv:1604.08551v3 [math.NT] 17 Oct 2016

# BURGESS-LIKE SUBCONVEXITY FOR $\mathrm{GL}_1$

HAN WU

Abstract. We generalize our previous method on subconvexity problem for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ with cuspidal representations to Eisenstein series, and deduce a Burgess-like subconvex bound for Hecke characters, i.e., the bound $|L(1/2,\chi)| \ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon} \mathbf{C}(\chi)^{1/4-(1-2\theta)/16+\epsilon}$ for varying Hecke characters $\chi$ over a number filed $\mathbf{F}$ with analytic conductor $\mathbf{C}(\chi)$. As a main tool, we use the extended theory of regularized integral due to Zagier developed in a previous paper.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Statement 1
1.2. Notations and Conventions 2
1.3. Acknowledgment 2
2. Proof of the Main Theorem 2
2.1. Zeta Functional and Truncation 2
2.2. Bounds of Local Terms and Truncated Integral 6
2.3. Bound of Global Integral: Constant Part 8
2.4. Bound of Global Integral: Exceptional Part 9
2.5. Finalizing the Proof 12
3. Miscellaneous Computation 12
3.1. **K**-Invariant Hermitian Forms 12
3.2. Some Computation in the Principal Series Representations 13
3.3. Regularization for a Special Case 19
References 24

## 1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement.** If $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character of modulus $q$, Burgess [2] established his famous subconvex bound

$$|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi)| \ll_{t,\epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{16}+\epsilon}.$$

Later, Heath-Brown [5, 6] generalized Burgess' result to include the $t$-aspect as the following hybrid bound

$$|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi)| \ll_{\epsilon} (q(|t|+2))^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{16}+\epsilon}.$$

A saving of $1/16$ is call a subconvex bound of Burgess-type. There are also improvements on the above bound in certain special cases. For example, in [7] the case of $q$ prime and of hybrid type is considered; in [10] with very strong result of sub-Weyl type, the case of $q = p^n$ a prime power and for the $q$-aspect is treated.

It is an interesting special case when we restrict to $\chi = \chi_q$ the quadratic character. Bounds of better quality are known to hold for Weyl-type. For example, among many other good results Conrey and

Research partially supported by SNF-grant 200021-125291 and DFG-SNF-grant 00021L_153647.

Iwaniec [3, Corollary 1.5] obtained

$$|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_q)| \ll_{t,\epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{12}+\epsilon},$$

which was recently generalized by Young [15, (1.8)] as

$$|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_q)| \ll_{\epsilon} (q(|t|+2))^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{12}+\epsilon}.$$

In this paper we obtain hybrid subconvex bounds of Burgess type for $\mathrm{GL}_1$ $L$-functions over general number fields. The first qualitative result of that type was obtained by Michel and Venkatesh [9, Theorem 5.1 & Section 5.1.7] with an unspecified subconvex exponent. We prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $\chi$ be a Hecke character of $\mathbf{F}$ with analytic conductor $\mathbf{C}(\chi)$. We have*

$$|L(\frac{1}{2},\chi)| \ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon} \mathbf{C}(\chi)^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1-2\theta}{16}+\epsilon},$$

*where $\theta$ is any constant towards the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture.*

The proof is inspired by the methods of our earlier work [14] where we established a Burgess-type subconvext bound for $\mathrm{GL}_1$ twists of a $\mathrm{GL}_2$ *cuspidal* automorphic representation $\pi$.

$$|L(\frac{1}{2},\pi\times\chi)| \ll_{\pi,\mathbf{F},\epsilon} \mathbf{C}(\chi)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-2\theta}{8}+\epsilon}. \tag{1.1}$$

In this paper we show that it is possible to replace the cuspidal representation $\pi$ by the Eisenstein series representation $\pi(1,1)$ and obtain the same bound. Theorem 1.1 then follows from the identity

$$L(s,\pi(1,1)\times\chi) = L(s,\chi)^2.$$

The main hurdle is to address the non square-integrability of Eisenstein series. For this we use a regularization process which we show does not harm the method.

1.2. **Notations and Conventions.** There is no essential notation change between the current paper and that of [14]. We import the notations given in [14, Section 2.1]. However, we write the algebraic groups in bold characters such as $\mathbf{G},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{K},\mathbf{A},\mathbf{Z}$ etc. The number filed is also in bold character $\mathbf{F}$. Additional notations will be given in the course of proofs.

1.3. **Acknowledgment.** In fact, Professor Philippe Michel and Paul Nelson have a different approach to the main theorem of this paper. The author would like to thank them for their generosity to let him publish the mine first. The author would also like to thank Professor Philippe Michel, his former thesis adviser, for his encouragement and enlightening strategic argument when the dark clouds of stress and exhaustion covered the eyes. The preparation of the paper begins at the end of the author's stay in FIM at ETHZ and ends at the beginning of the author's stay in YMSC at Tsinghua University. The author would like to thank both institutes for their hospitality.

## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

2.1. **Zeta Functional and Truncation.** Fixing a central Hecke character $\omega$ over a number filed $\mathbf{F}$, we have defined in [13] the space of finitely regularizable functions $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$. We shall see in this subsection that the global period defining $L$-functions à la Tate applying to the larger space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ share many analytic properties similar to the zeta functional à la Tate. In particular, we deduce an "approximate functional equation", which will be applied to Eisenstein series later in this paper.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ for some Hecke character $\omega$. For a Hecke character $\chi$ and $s\in\mathbb{C},\Re s\gg 1$, we define the zeta-functional by*

$$\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times} (\varphi-\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y,$$

*where we recall the* essential constant term

$$\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(n(x)a(y)k) = \varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y)k) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \chi_i(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i} \log^{n_i}|y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_i(k).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *$\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $s\in\mathbb{C}$ with functional equation*

$$\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = \zeta(1-s,\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1},w.\varphi).$$

*It has possible poles at $s=-\alpha_j-i\mu(\chi_j\chi)$ with $\chi_j\chi(\mathbb{A}^{(1)})=1$ resp. $s=1+\alpha_j+i\mu(\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1})$ with $\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^{(1)})=1$, with pure order $n_j+1$. Here $\mu(\chi)\in\mathbb{R}$ is defined for $\chi(\mathbb{A}^{(1)})=1$ as $\chi(t)=|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{i\mu(\chi)}$.*

*Proof.* By the invariance of $\varphi$ at left by $w$, we can re-write the zeta-integral as

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = &\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\geq 1}} (\varphi-\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y\\ &+\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\leq 1}} (w.\varphi-w.\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y^{-1}))\omega\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y\\ &-\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\leq 1}} \varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y\\ &+\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\leq 1}} w.\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y^{-1}))\omega\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y.\end{aligned}$$

We can calculate the integral concerning $\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = &\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\geq 1}} (\varphi-\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\chi(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y\\ &+\int_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\geq 1}} (w.\varphi-w.\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}-s}d^\times y+\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\cdot\\ &\left(\sum_{\chi_j\chi|_{\mathbb{A}^{(1)}}=1}\frac{(-1)^{n_j+1}f_j(1)}{(s+\alpha_j+i\mu(\chi_j\chi))^{n_j+1}}+\sum_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^{(1)}}=1}\frac{(-1)^{n_j+1}f_j(w)}{(1-s+\alpha_j+i\mu(\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}))^{n_j+1}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

from which we easily deduce all the assertions. □

We turn to the special case $\varphi(g)=\mathrm{E}^*(s_0,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)$ resp. $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(s_0,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)$ defined in [13], for which the local computation is the same as for a cusp form. Note that in this case $\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}=\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}$, hence $\varphi(a(y))-\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))=\Sigma_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}W_\varphi(a(\alpha y))$.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $\varphi(g)=\mathrm{E}^*(s_0,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)$ resp. $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(s_0,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)$ where $\xi,\omega$ are Hecke characters and $f\in\pi_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$. The zeta-functional has a decomposition as an Euler product in which only a finite number of terms are not equal to 1:*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = &\Lambda(s+s_0,\xi\chi)\Lambda(s-s_0,\omega\xi^{-1}\chi)\cdot\\ &\prod_v \frac{L_v(1+2s_0,\omega_v^{-1}\xi_v^2)}{L_v(s+s_0,\xi_v\chi_v)L_v(s-s_0,\omega_v\xi_v^{-1}\chi_v)}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times} W_{f_v}^{(s_0)}(a(y_v))|y_v|_v^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y_v \text{ resp.}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s,\chi,\varphi) = &\frac{\Lambda(s+s_0,\xi\chi)\Lambda(s-s_0,\omega\xi^{-1}\chi)}{\Lambda(1+2s_0,\omega^{-1}\xi^2)}\cdot\\ &\prod_v \frac{L_v(1+2s_0,\omega_v^{-1}\xi_v^2)}{L_v(s+s_0,\xi_v\chi_v)L_v(s-s_0,\omega_v\xi_v^{-1}\chi_v)}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times} W_{f_v}^{(s_0)}(a(y_v))|y_v|_v^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y_v.\end{aligned}$$

The way given in the proof of Proposition 2.2 is not the only way of the analytic continuation of the global zeta functional. Another version of truncation on the integral is closely related to the classical approximate functional equation. Let $h_0$ be a smooth function supported in the inteval $[0,2)$, being equal to 1 on $[0,1]$. For any $A>0$, we denote by $h_{0,A}$ the function $t\mapsto h_0(t/A)$. We then have for $\Re s \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,\chi,\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}(\varphi-\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\chi(y)|y|^s_{\mathbb{A}}(1-h_{0,A}(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}))d^\times y\\ &+\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}(w.\varphi-w.\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}})(a(y))\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}(y)|y|^{-s}_{\mathbb{A}}h_{0,A}(|y|^{-1}_{\mathbb{A}})d^\times y\\ &-\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))\chi(y)|y|^s_{\mathbb{A}}h_{0,A}(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})d^\times y\\ &+\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}w.\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}(y)|y|^{-s}_{\mathbb{A}}h_{0,A}(|y|^{-1}_{\mathbb{A}})d^\times y.\end{aligned}$$

For the last two lines, it is not hard to compute their analytic continuation using the form of $\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}$ and the analytic continuation of the Mellin transform of $h_0$ as (since $h_0'$ is of compact support contained in $(1,2)$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(s) &= \int_0^\infty h_0(t)t^s\frac{dt}{t}=\frac{1}{s}-\frac{\mathfrak{M}(h_0')(s+1)+1}{s}\\ &=(-1)^N\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}(s+j)^{-1}\mathfrak{M}(h_0^{(N)})(s+N),\forall N\in\mathbb{N},s\in\mathbb{C}.\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.4.** *We also have, first for $\Re s\ll -1$ then for $s\in\mathbb{C}$*

$$\mathfrak{M}(1-h_0)(s)=\int_0^\infty(1-h_0)(t)t^s\frac{dt}{t}=\frac{\mathfrak{M}(h_0')(s+1)}{s}=-\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(s).$$

Then the last two lines are defined for $s\in\mathbb{C}$ as, writing $s_1=1/2+\alpha_1+i\mu(\chi_1\chi)$

$$\begin{aligned}&-\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(1)\delta_{\chi_j\chi}\frac{\partial^{n_j}}{\partial s^{n_j}}\left(A^{s+s_j}\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(s+s_j)\right)\\ =&\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(1)\delta_{\chi_j\chi}\frac{(-1)^{n_j+1}}{(s+s_j)^{n_j+1}}-\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(1)\delta_{\chi_j\chi}\cdot\\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n_j}\binom{n_j}{k}(\log A)^{n_j-k+1}\int_0^\infty h_0'(t)t^{s+s_j}\log^k t dt\cdot\int_0^1 A^{\delta(s+s_j)}\delta^{n_j-k}d\delta-\\ &\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(1)\delta_{\chi_j\chi}\int_0^\infty h_0'(t)\left(\int_0^1\delta^{n_j}t^{\delta(s+s_j)}d\delta\right)\log^{n_j+1}t dt,\end{aligned}$$

and writing $s_j'=1/2+\alpha_j+i\mu(\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1})$

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(w)\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}(-1)^{n_j}\cdot\frac{\partial^{n_j}}{\partial s^{n_j}}\left(A^{s-s_j'}\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(s-s_j')\right)\\ =&\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(w)\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}\frac{(-1)^{n_j+1}}{(s_j'-s)^{n_j+1}}+\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(w)\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}(-1)^{n_j}\cdot\\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n_j}\binom{n_j}{k}(\log A)^{n_j-k+1}\int_0^\infty h_0'(t)t^{s-s_j'}\log^k t dt\cdot\int_0^1 A^{\delta(s-s_j')}\delta^{n_j-k}d\delta+\\ &\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\sum_{j=1}^l f_j(w)\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}(-1)^{n_j}\int_0^\infty h_0'(t)\left(\int_0^1\delta^{n_j}t^{\delta(s-s_j')}d\delta\right)\log^{n_j+1}t dt.\end{aligned}$$

We separate the terms in the sum $\Sigma_{j=1}^{l}$ according as $s_j = 0$ and $s_j \neq 0$ resp. $s_j' = 0$ and $s_j' \neq 0$. For $s_j = 0$ resp. $s_j' = 0$, the holomorphic part at $s = 0$ is bounded, with implied constants depending only on $\mathbf{F}, n_j, h_0$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \delta_{\chi_j\chi 1_{s_j=0}} O(|f_j(1)\log^{n_j+1} A|) \text{ resp. } \sum_{j=1}^{l} \delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1} 1_{s_j'=0}} O(|f_j(w)\log^{n_j+1} A|).$$

For $s_j \neq 0$ resp. $s_j' \neq 0$, they are of size at $s = 0$, with implied constants depending only on $\mathbf{F}, \alpha_j, n_j, h_0$ and an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \delta_{\chi_j\chi 1_{s_j\neq 0}} O\left(\frac{A^{\Re s_j}|f_j(1)\log^{n_j} A|}{|s_j|^N}\right) \text{ resp. } \sum_{j=1}^{l} \delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1} 1_{s_j'\neq 0}} O\left(\frac{A^{-\Re s_j'}|f_j(w)\log^{n_j} A|}{|s_j'|^N}\right).$$

The first resp. second line is supported in $|y|_{\mathbb{A}} \in [A, \infty)$ resp. $[(2A)^{-1}, \infty)$, hence is well-defined for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ by the rapid decay of $\varphi - \varphi_{\mathbf{N}}^*$. For the second line at $s = 0$, we can apply Mellin inversion to see

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times} (w.\varphi - w.\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}^*)(a(y))\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}(y)h_{0,A}(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1})d^\times y\\ =\ &\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\int_{\Re s_1=c_1\gg 1} A^{s_1}\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s_1, \omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}, w.\varphi)\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(s_1)\frac{ds_1}{2\pi i}\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded, with implied constant depending only on $\mathbf{F}, h_0$ and an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$, as

$$A^{c_1} O\left(\int_{\Re s_1=c_1\gg 1} \frac{|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s_1, \omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}, w.\varphi)|}{\prod_{m=0}^{N-1}|s_1+m|}\frac{|ds_1|}{2\pi}\right),$$

where $c_1$ can be chosen as any real number such that the integral defining $\zeta(1/2 + s_1, \omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}, w.\varphi)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s_1 \geq c_1$. Similarly, we have for any $B > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times} (\varphi - \varphi_{\mathbf{N}}^*)(a(y))\chi(y)(1-h_{0,B})(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})d^\times y\\ =\ &-\int_{\Re s_2=c_2\gg 1} B^{-s_2}\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s_2, \chi, \varphi)\mathfrak{M}(h_0)(-s_2)\frac{ds_2}{2\pi i}\end{aligned}$$

is bounded, with implied constant depending only on $\mathbf{F}, h_0$ and an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$B^{-c_2} O\left(\int_{\Re s_2=c_2\gg 1} \frac{|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s_2, \chi, \varphi)|}{\prod_{m=0}^{N-1}|s_2+m|}\frac{|ds_2|}{2\pi}\right),$$

where $c_2$ can be chosen as any real number such that the integral defining $\zeta(1/2 + s_2, \chi, \varphi)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s_2 \geq c_2$.

**Definition 2.5.** *For any function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ and any Hecke character $\chi$, we define the $h$-**truncated integral** on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega)$ as*

$$\zeta(h, \chi, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})\chi(y)(\varphi - \varphi_{\mathbf{N}}^*)(a(y))d^\times y.$$

We have obtained:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Take $h_0$ as indicated in the beginning, some positive constants $0 < A < B$ and define $h(t) = h_{0,B}(t) - h_{0,A}(t), t > 0$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega)$ with $\chi_i, \alpha_i, n_i$ given in Definition 2.1, we write*

$$s_j = 1/2 + \alpha_j + i\mu(\chi_j\chi) \text{ resp. } s_j' = 1/2 + \alpha_j + i\mu(\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1})$$

*if $\chi_j\chi$ resp. $\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}$ is trivial on $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$, and $\mu$ defined in Proposition 2.2. Then the difference*

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{hol}}(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \varphi) - \zeta(h, \chi, \varphi)$$

*is bounded, with implied constants depending only on $\mathbf{F}, \alpha_j, n_j, h_0$ and an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$, as the sum of*

(1) ***Degenerate Polar Part:***

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l}\delta_{\chi_j\chi}1_{s_j=0}O(|f_j(1)\log^{n_j+1}A|)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}1_{s_j'=0}O(|f_j(w)\log^{n_j+1}A|).$$

(2) ***Normal Polar Part:***

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l}\delta_{\chi_j\chi}1_{s_j\neq 0}O\left(\frac{A^{\Re s_j}|f_j(1)\log^{n_j}A|}{|s_j|^N}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\delta_{\chi_j\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1}}1_{s_j'\neq 0}O\left(\frac{A^{-\Re s_j'}|f_j(w)\log^{n_j}A|}{|s_j'|^N}\right).$$

(3) ***Lower Part:***

$$A^{c_1}O\left(\int_{\Re s=c_1\gg 1}\frac{|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1},w.\varphi)|}{\prod_{m=0}^{N-1}|s+m|}\frac{|ds|}{2\pi}\right).$$

(4) ***Upper Part:***

$$B^{-c_2}O\left(\int_{\Re s=c_2\gg 1}\frac{|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,\chi,\varphi)|}{\prod_{m=0}^{N-1}|s+m|}\frac{|ds|}{2\pi}\right).$$

*In (3) resp. (4), $c_1>0$ resp. $c_2>0$ is any real number such that the integral defining $\zeta(1/2+s,\omega^{-1}\chi^{-1},w.\varphi)$ resp. $\zeta(1/2+s,\chi,\varphi)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s\geq c_1$ resp. $\Re s\geq c_2$.*

2.2. **Bounds of Local Terms and Truncated Integral.** Let $\varphi_0=\mathrm{E}^*(0,f_0)$, where $f_0\in\pi=\pi_{1,1}=\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}(1,1)$ is the spherical function taking value 1 on $\mathbf{K}$. Consider the family of test functions

$$\varphi=n(T).\varphi_0$$

for $T\in\mathbb{A}$ as in [14, Section 3]. By Proposition 2.3, we have an integral representation of $L(1/2,\pi\otimes\chi)=L(1/2,\chi)^2$ for Hecke character $\chi$:

$$L(\frac{1}{2},\chi)^2=\left[\prod_{v|\infty}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}n(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))d^\times y_v\prod_{v<\infty}\frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}n(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))d^\times y_v}{L_v(\frac{1}{2},\chi_v)^2}\right]^{-1}\zeta(\frac{1}{2},\chi,\varphi),$$

where we have written the normalized Whittaker functions

$$W_{0,v}^*=\zeta_v(1)W_{0,v}=\zeta_v(1)W_{f_0,v}.$$

With this normalization, we have $W_{0,v}^*(1)=1$ for all but finitely many $v$, hence is the same normalization as for cusp forms. We can thus apply [14, Proposition 3.1] (actually we should apply its generalization in the coming paper [11]) to get

**Proposition 2.7.** *We can choose $T_v$ with $|T_v|_v\in[\mathbf{C}(\chi_v),2\mathbf{C}(\chi_v)]$ such that*

$$\prod_{v|\infty}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}n(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))d^\times y_v\prod_{v<\infty}\frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}n(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))d^\times y_v}{L_v(\frac{1}{2},\chi_v)^2}\ll_{\mathbf{F}}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

*where $Q=\mathbf{C}(\chi)=\Pi_v\mathbf{C}(\chi_v)$ is the analytic conductor of $\chi$ (we keep this notation in what follows).*

In order to bound $\zeta(1/2,\chi,\varphi)$, we shall first use Proposition 2.6 to get

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let $A=Q^{-\kappa-1},B=Q^{\kappa-1}$ for some $\kappa\in(0,1)$ to be chosen later. Take $h_0$ and $h$ as in Proposition 2.6. Then we have for any small $\epsilon>0$*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(\frac{1}{2},\chi,\varphi)&=\zeta(\sigma*h,\chi,\varphi)+O_{\mathbf{F},h_0,\epsilon}(Q^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}+\epsilon})\\&=\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}\sigma*h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})\varphi(a(y))\chi(y)d^\times y+O_{\mathbf{F},h_0,\epsilon}(Q^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}+\epsilon}+Q^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}+\epsilon}),\end{aligned}$$

*where $\sigma$ is the following average of Dirac measures*

$$\sigma=\frac{1}{M_E}\sum_{v,v'\in I_E}\delta_{|\varpi_v|_v|\varpi_{v'}|_{v'}^{-1}}$$

*with a parameter $E$ to be chosen later and*

$$I_E = \{v < \infty : q_v \in [E, 2E], T_v = 0\}, M_E = |I_E| \gg \frac{E}{\log E}.$$

*Proof.* We only need to consider the case for $h$ since $\sigma * h$ gives bounded translations. Compared to [14, Lemma 3.2], the new situation is:

- The normal polar part is non-vanishing;
- At $v \mid \infty$, $W_{0,v}^*$ is no longer of compact support in $\mathbf{F}_v^\times$, hence [14, Corollary 4.3] used in [14, Section 6.1] for local archimedean bounds on the vertical line $\Re s = -1/2 - \epsilon$ need to be re-considered.

The normal polar part and the integral against $\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}$ are non-vanishing only if $\chi = |\cdot|^{i\mu}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, in which case $\mathbf{C}(\chi_v) = 1$ for all $v < \infty$ and $\mathbf{C}(\chi_v) \asymp |\mu|^{[\mathbf{F}_v:\mathbb{R}]}$ for all $v \mid \infty$. Note that by [13, Proposition 2.33], there are $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ depending only on $\mathbf{F}$ such that

$$\varphi_{0,\mathbf{N}}(zn(x)a(y)k) = \mu_1 |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_2 |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \log|y|_{\mathbb{A}}, \forall z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A}), x \in \mathbb{A}, y \in \mathbb{A}^\times, k \in \mathbf{K}. \tag{2.1}$$

If we write

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}(zn(x)a(y)k) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_1(k) + |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \log|y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_2(k),$$

then we can easily calculate

$$f_1(1) = \mu_1, f_2(1) = \mu_2, f_1(w) = \mu_1 \prod_{v|\infty} (1 + |T_v|^2)^{-\frac{[\mathbf{F}_v:\mathbb{R}]}{2}},$$

$$f_2(w) = \mu_2 \prod_{v|\infty} (1 + |T_v|^2)^{-\frac{[\mathbf{F}_v:\mathbb{R}]}{2}} \log \left( \prod_{v|\infty} (1 + |T_v|^2)^{-\frac{[\mathbf{F}_v:\mathbb{R}]}{2}} \right).$$

We thus find that the normal polar part can be bounded as $O(Q^{-N})$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, due to the huge denominator $|1/2 + i\mu|^N$. For the integral against $\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}$, since $h(t)$ has support contained in $[Q^{-\kappa-1}, Q^{\kappa-1}]$ with $|h(t)| \leq 1$, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) \varphi_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y)\chi(y) d^\times y = O_{\mathbf{F}, h_0, \epsilon}(Q^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}+\epsilon}). \tag{2.2}$$

To bound the lower part in Proposition 2.6, we can choose $c_1 = 1/2 + \epsilon$. The concerned zeta-function has a decomposition as a finite product

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi^{-1}, w.\varphi) = L(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi^{-1})^2 \cdot \prod_{v|\infty} \int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times} wn(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))\chi_v^{-1}(y_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v \\ \cdot \prod_{v<\infty} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times} wn(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))\chi_v^{-1}(y_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v}{L_v(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi_v^{-1})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

At an archimedean place $v$, say $\mathbf{F}_v = \mathbb{R}$, to the local integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} wn(T_v).W_{0,v}^*(a(y_v))\chi_v^{-1}(y_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v \\ &= \chi_v(1 + T_v^2)^{-1}(1 + T_v^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} W_{0,v}^*(a(y))\psi_v(yT_v)\chi_v^{-1}(y_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\end{aligned}$$

[14, Corallary 4.3] (more precisely, its generalization in [11]) is applicable and gives a bound as $O(|T_v|_v^{1/2+\epsilon})$. For $\mathbf{F}_v = \mathbb{C}$ the argument is similar. At $v < \infty$, [14, Corollary 4.8] is still applicable. We thus deduce that, using the convex bound of $L(1/2 + s, \chi^{-1})$,

$$\left| \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi^{-1}, w.\varphi) \right| \ll_{\mathbf{F}, \epsilon} |\frac{1}{2} + s|^\epsilon \mathbf{C}(\chi)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

The desired bound is thus $O(Q^{-\frac{\kappa+1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) = O(Q^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}+\epsilon})$.

The treatment of the upper part in Proposition 2.6 is similar and simpler. It gives the desired bound $O(Q^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}+\epsilon})$. □

2.3. **Bound of Global Integral: Constant Part.** Let

$$\sigma'_\chi = \frac{1}{M_E^2} \sum_{v,v' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_v}{\varpi_{v'}}\right) \delta_{\varpi_v \varpi_{v'}^{-1}},$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \sigma * h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})\varphi(a(y))\chi(y) d^\times y\right|^2 &= \left|\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})\sigma'_\chi * \varphi(a(y))\chi(y) d^\times y\right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) d^\times y \cdot \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) |\sigma'_\chi * \varphi(a(y))|^2 d^\times y.\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the simplified notation

$$\mathcal{E}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2') = \mathcal{E}\left(a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right).\varphi \cdot \overline{a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\varphi}\right)$$

where the right hand side is defined in [13, Proposition 3.19 (2)]; and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2') &= a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right).\varphi \cdot \overline{a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\varphi} - \mathcal{E}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2') \\ &= a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) n(T).\left(a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}\varpi_{v_2'}}{\varpi_{v_1'}\varpi_{v_2}}\right).\varphi_0 \cdot \overline{\varphi_0} - \mathcal{E}\left(a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}\varpi_{v_2'}}{\varpi_{v_1'}\varpi_{v_2}}\varphi_0 \cdot \overline{\varphi_0}\right)\right)\right) \\ &=: a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) n(T)\varphi_0(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'), \qquad (2.3)\end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite the last integral as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})|\sigma'_\chi * \varphi(a(y))|^2 d^\times y &= \frac{1}{M_E^4} \sum_{v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) S_{\mathbf{N}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_E^4} \sum_{v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_E^4} \sum_{v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_E^4} \sum_{v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Eis}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h),\end{aligned}$$

where we have written

$$S_{\mathbf{N}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) \left(a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right).\varphi \cdot \overline{a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\varphi}\right)_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y)) d^\times y;$$

$$S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) = \zeta(h, 1, \mathcal{E}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'));$$

$$S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) = \sum_{\pi' \text{ cuspidal}} \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) \mathrm{P}_{\pi'}(\varphi(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'))(a(y)) d^\times y;$$

$$S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Eis}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) = \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^{(1)}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) \mathrm{P}_{\xi, i\tau}(\varphi(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'))(a(y)) d^\times y \frac{d\tau}{4\pi};$$

with $\mathrm{P}_*$ denoting the orthogonal projection onto the space of $*$.

**Lemma 2.9.** *We have for any $\epsilon>0$*

$$\left|\frac{1}{M_E^4}\sum_{v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2'\in I_E}\chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right)S_{\mathbf{N}}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)\right|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}E^{-2+\epsilon}Q^{\epsilon}+Q^{\kappa-1+\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* We can write

$$S_{\mathbf{N}}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)=S_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathcal{W}}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)+S_{\mathbf{N}}^{*}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h),$$

$$S_{\mathbf{N}}^{*}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)=\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right).\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))\cdot\overline{a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\varphi_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y))}d^\times y.$$

The treatment of

$$\frac{1}{M_E^4}\sum_{v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2'\in I_E}\chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right)S_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathcal{W}}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)$$

is the same as [14, Lemma 3.4], which gives a term $\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}E^{-2+\epsilon}Q^{\epsilon}$. Using (2.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\mathbf{N}}^{*}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)=&\left(|\mu_1|^2+\mu_1\overline{\mu_2}\log\left|\frac{\omega_{v_2}}{\omega_{v_2'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}+\mu_2\overline{\mu_1}\log\left|\frac{\omega_{v_1}}{\omega_{v_1'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\left|\frac{\omega_{v_1}}{\omega_{v_1'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\left|\frac{\omega_{v_2}}{\omega_{v_2'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\\&\cdot\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})|y|_{\mathbb{A}}d^\times y\\&+(\mu_1\overline{\mu_2}+\mu_2\overline{\mu_1})\left|\frac{\omega_{v_1}}{\omega_{v_1'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\left|\frac{\omega_{v_2}}{\omega_{v_2'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\cdot\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})|y|_{\mathbb{A}}\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}}d^\times y\\&+|\mu_2|^2\left|\frac{\omega_{v_1}}{\omega_{v_1'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\left|\frac{\omega_{v_2}}{\omega_{v_2'}}\right|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac12}\cdot\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times}h(|y|_{\mathbb{A}})|y|_{\mathbb{A}}\log^2|y|_{\mathbb{A}}d^\times y,\end{aligned}$$

from which we easily see, by the same consideration of (2.2),

$$|S_{\mathbf{N}}^{*}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}Q^{\kappa-1+\epsilon};$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{M_E^4}\sum_{v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2'\in I_E}\chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right)S_{\mathbf{N}}^{*}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)\right|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}Q^{\kappa-1+\epsilon}.$$

□

There are nine different patterns of the positions of $v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2'$, listed in [14, Proposition 3.5]. The estimation of the rest three terms depends on the pattern. For simplicity, we only treat the *typical* pattern in detail, i.e., when $v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2'$ are distinct. The treatment of the other patterns is quite similar.

2.4. **Bound of Global Integral: Exceptional Part.** Before entering into the treatment of $S_{\mathcal{E}}$, that we call the exceptional part, we need to analyze $\mathcal{E}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2')$. Note that

$$\mathcal{E}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2')=n(T)a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\mathcal{E}\left(a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}\varpi_{v_2'}}{\varpi_{v_1'}\varpi_{v_2}}\right).\varphi_0\overline{\varphi_0}\right)=n(T)a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\mathcal{E}_t,$$

where we use the notation $\mathcal{E}_t$ introduced in Section 3.3 for $\varphi_1=\varphi_2=\varphi_0$ and $t=\varpi_{v_1}\varpi_{v_2'}\varpi_{v_1'}^{-1}\varpi_{v_2}^{-1}$. We decompose $\mathcal{E}_t$ into $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic parts as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_t=\sum_{\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)}c(\vec{n},\vec{l};0)\Big\{&|\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{*}(1)|^2\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(2)}(\frac12,f_{\vec{l}})+(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac12\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{*}(1)\mu_{\vec{l}}'(0))\overline{2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac12,f_{\vec{l}})\\&+\left(2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{*}(1)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}}+\overline{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{*}(1)}(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac12\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{*}(1)\mu_{\vec{l}}'(0))\right)\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(1)}(\frac12,f_{\vec{l}})\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

(2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) = \sum_{\vec{l} \leq \vec{n}(t)} c(\vec{n}, \vec{l}; 0) \Big\{ & |\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)|^2 \zeta(h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}}, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(2)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})) \\ & + (2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\mu_{\vec{l}}'(0))\overline{2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}} \zeta(h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}}, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})) \\ & + \left( 2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}} + \overline{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)}(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\mu_{\vec{l}}'(0)) \right) \zeta(h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}}, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(1)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are reduced to bounding, for $n = 0, 1, 2$

$$\zeta(h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}^{-1}}, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})).$$

To this end, we apply Mellin inversion as in [14, (6.14) & (6.24)].

**Lemma 2.10.** *For $n = 0, 1, 2$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\left| \zeta(h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}^{-1}}, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}}) \right| \ll_{\mathbf{F}, h_0, \epsilon} (EQ)^{\epsilon} (Q^{\kappa - 1} E^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_2' - l_1' - l_2)} + E^{-\frac{1}{2}\deg \vec{l}}).$$

*Proof.* First note that we can replace $h_{q_{v_2'} q_{v_2}^{-1}}$ by $h$ since it concerns an absolutely bounded translation. Then we calculate the constant terms in order to analyze the poles of the zeta functions. We have

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2} + s, f_{\vec{l}})(a(y)k) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{1+s} f_{\vec{l}}(k) + \frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)} \frac{\mu_{\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2} + s)}{2s} |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-s} f_{\vec{l}}(k), \vec{l} \neq 0;$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2} + s)(a(y)k, f_{\vec{0}}) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{1+s} f_{\vec{0}}(1) + \frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)} \frac{|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-s} - 1}{2s} f_{\vec{0}}(1).$$

Hence we get for $n = 0, 1, 2$, $\vec{l} \neq \vec{0}$ and with constants $c_k$ depending only on $\mathbf{F}$

$$n(T).\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})(a(y)) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}} \log^n |y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_{\vec{l}}(1) + \sum_{k=\deg \vec{l} - 1}^{n} c_k E^{-\deg \vec{l}} (\log E)^{k - \deg \vec{l} + 1} \log^{n-k} |y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_{\vec{l}}(1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} n(T).\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}})(a(y)w) = & (\mathrm{Ht}(wn(T))|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) \log^n (\mathrm{Ht}(wn(T))|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) f_{\vec{l}}(w) + \\ & \sum_{k=\deg \vec{l} - 1}^{n} c_k E^{-\deg \vec{l}} (\log E)^{k - \deg \vec{l} + 1} \log^{n-k} (|y|_{\mathbb{A}} \mathrm{Ht}(wn(T))) f_{\vec{l}}(w); \end{aligned}$$

while for $\vec{l} = \vec{0}$

$$n(T).\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{0}})(a(y)) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}} \log^n |y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_{\vec{0}}(1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \log^{n-k+1} |y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_{\vec{0}}(1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} n(T).\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{0}})(a(y)w) = & (\mathrm{Ht}(wn(T))|y|_{\mathbb{A}}) (\log \mathrm{Ht}(wn(T))|y|_{\mathbb{A}})^n f_{\vec{0}}(1) + \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k (\log |y|_{\mathbb{A}} \mathrm{Ht}(wn(T)))^{n-k+1} f_{\vec{0}}(1). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + s, 1, n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_{\vec{l}}))$ has

- a pole at $s = 1$ with residue equal to

$$\mathrm{Ht}(wn(T)) \log^n \mathrm{Ht}(wn(T)) f_{\vec{l}}(w),$$

which is bounded as, using the formulas of $a(l, n)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.4 (1)

$$Q^{-2} \log^n Q \cdot E^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_2' - l_1' - l_2)}.$$

- a pole at $s=0$.
- a pole at $s=-1$.

We can thus write for $0<\epsilon<1$, using [14, (6.1) & (6.2)] and Proposition 2.2

$$\zeta(h,1,n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{l}}) = \int_{\Re s=\epsilon}\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,1,n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{l}}))\mathfrak{M}(h)(-s)\frac{ds}{2\pi i} + O_{\mathbf{F},h_0,\epsilon}(Q^{\kappa-1+\epsilon}E^{\frac{1}{2}(l_1+l_2'-l_1'-l_2)}).$$

To bound the integral on the vertical line $\Re s=\epsilon$, we have by Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,1,n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{l}}))\\ &=\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s_0^n}\mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\{\frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_0)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_0)}\cdot\prod_{v|\infty}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\psi_v(y_vT_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\cdot\\ &\prod_{v<\infty,T_v\neq 0}\frac{\zeta_v(1+2s_0)}{\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_0)\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\psi_v(y_vT_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\cdot\\ &\prod_{v\in\{v_1,v_2,v_1',v_2'\}}\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)^{s-s_0}\zeta_{v,l_v}(s,s_0)\Bigg\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_{v,l_v}(s,s_0)$ is defined in Lemma 3.4 (3). From Corollary 3.5 (4) we deduce

$$\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}\mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{v\in\{v_1,v_2,v_1',v_2'\}}\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)^{s-s_0}\zeta_{v,l_v}(s,s_0)\right|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}E^{-\frac{1}{2}\deg\vec{l}+\epsilon},k\leq n\leq 2.$$

At $v<\infty, T_v\neq 0$, assuming $T_v=\varpi_v^{-k_v}$ we can calculate explicitly (using for example [14, Lemma 4.7])

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\zeta_v(1+2s_0)}{\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_0)\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\psi_v(y_vT_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\\ &=q_v^{-k_v(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}\frac{1-q_v^{-\frac{1}{2}-s-s_0}}{1-q_v^{-2s_0}}-q_v^{-k_v(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_0)-2s_0}\frac{1-q_v^{-\frac{1}{2}-s+s_0}}{1-q_v^{-2s_0}}\\ &\quad-q_v^{-(k_v-1)(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}\frac{(1-q_v^{-\frac{1}{2}-s-s_0})(1-q_v^{-\frac{1}{2}-s+s_0})}{q_v-1}\frac{1-q_v^{-2k_vs_0}}{1-q_v^{-2s_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}\mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\zeta_v(1+2s_0)}{\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_0)\zeta_v(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_0)}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\psi_v(y_vT_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\right|\\ &\ll q_v^{-k_v\epsilon}(k_v\log q_v)^k\ll_k\epsilon^{-k}.\end{aligned}$$

At $v\mid\infty$, we can trivially bound

$$\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}\mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\psi_v(y_vT_v)|y_v|_v^s d^\times y_v\right|\leq\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times}\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}\mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}W_{0,v}(s_0,a(y_v))\right||y_v|_v^\epsilon d^\times y_v\ll_\epsilon 1$$

using classical asymptotic estimation for Whittaker functions (or [8, Proposition 4.1]). Together with convex bounds for $\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}$ we see for $n=0,1,2$

$$\left|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,1,n(T).\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{l}}))\right|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\epsilon}(1+|s|)^{\frac{[\mathbf{F}:\mathbb{Q}]}{2}+\epsilon}E^{-\frac{1}{2}\deg\vec{l}+\epsilon}.$$

We get the desired bound using [14, (6.1) & (6.2)] again. □

**Corollary 2.11.** (1) *For a typical pattern, we have for any $\epsilon>0$*

$$|S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1,v_1',v_2,v_2';h)|\ll_{\mathbf{F},h_0,\epsilon}(EQ)^\epsilon(Q^{\kappa-1}E^2+E^{-2}).$$

(2) *For any $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{M_E^4} \sum_{v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2' \in I_E} \chi\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right) \chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right) S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h) \right| \ll_{\mathbf{F}, h_0, \epsilon} (EQ)^{\epsilon} (Q^{\kappa-1}E^2 + E^{-2}).$$

*Proof.* (1) This follows from (2.4) and the following bounds resulting from Lemma 3.4 (1), (2):

$$\mu_{\vec{l}}'(0) \ll_{\epsilon} E^{\epsilon}, c(1, \vec{l}) \ll E^{-\frac{1}{2}(4-\deg \vec{l})} = E^{-2+\frac{1}{2}\deg \vec{l}}.$$

(2) This follows from (1) and the omitted calculation for other patterns. The situation is quite similar to that of [14, Section 6.2 - 6.4]. We apply Lemma 3.4 (3) to conclude.

□

2.5. **Finalizing the Proof.** The estimation of $S_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h)$ and $S_{\mathrm{Eis}}(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2'; h)$ is essentially the same as the cuspidal case given in [14, Section 6.3 & 6.4]. In fact, the only difference appears in [14, (6.16)], where we could bound $\|\Delta_{\infty}^{A'} a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_1}}{\varpi_{v_1'}}\right).\varphi_0 \cdot \overline{a\left(\frac{\varpi_{v_2}}{\varpi_{v_2'}}\right).\varphi_0}\|$ easily by $\mathrm{L}^4$-norm of $\varphi_0$. For the current case, we need to bound $\|\Delta_{\infty}^{A'} \varphi_0(v_1, v_1', v_2, v_2')\|$ defined in (2.3). Decomposing the concerned function into $\mathbf{K}_{\infty}$-isotypic parts, we find ourselves exactly in the case of Lemma 3.11. Thus unlike the cuspidal case, we get an extra $\log E$ into our estimation, which is harmless. Hence [14, Lemma 3.6 & 3.7] remain valid in the current case. We are finally lead to establishing (1.1) by

$$\min_{\kappa, E} \max(E^{-1}, EQ^{-1/4+\theta/2}, Q^{-\kappa/2}, E^{1/2}Q^{(\kappa-1)/4}, EQ^{(\kappa-1)/2}) = Q^{-\frac{1-2\theta}{8}},$$

with an optimal choice given by

$$E = Q^{\frac{1-2\theta}{8}}, \kappa = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta}{6}.$$

## 3. Miscellaneous Computation

### 3.1. K-Invariant Hermitian Forms.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $\rho : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{U}(V)$ be a unitary representation of a compact group $\mathbf{K}$ on a Hilbert space $V$. Let $\ell : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbf{K}$-invariant hermitian form.*

(1) *Assume $v_1 \in V_{\sigma_1}, v_2 \in V_{\sigma_2}$ where $V_{\sigma_j} \subset V$ are subspaces on which $\mathbf{K}$ acts the irreducible representation $\sigma_j$, i.e., $v_j$ is $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic for $j = 1, 2$. If $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ then we have $\ell(v_1, v_2) = 0$.*

(2) *Assume $(\rho, V_{\sigma}) \simeq \sigma$ (i.e., multiplicity one for $\sigma$ in $V$), and there exist $w_1, w_2 \in V_{\sigma}$ such that*

$$\ell(v_1, v_2) = \int_{\mathbf{K}} \langle \sigma(\kappa) v_1, w_1 \rangle \overline{\langle \sigma(\kappa) v_2, w_2 \rangle} d\kappa, \forall v_1, v_2 \in V_{\sigma}.$$

*Then $\ell(v, v)/\|v\|^2$ is independent of $v \in V_{\sigma} - \{0\}$.*

*Proof.* (1) Let $d = d_{\sigma_1}$ be the dimension of $\sigma_1$. Let $\chi = \chi_{\sigma_1} : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the character of $\sigma_1$. Then $d\rho(\chi)$ acts as identity on $\sigma_1$ and kills $\sigma_2$. We then find

$$\ell(v_1, v_2) = \ell(d\rho(\chi)v_1, v_2) = \int_{\mathbf{K}} d\chi(x) \ell(\rho(x)v_1, v_2) dx = \int_{\mathbf{K}} d\chi(x^{-1}) \ell(v_1, \rho(x)v_2) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{K}} d\overline{\chi(x)} \ell(v_1, \rho(x)v_2) dx = \ell(v_1, d\rho(\chi)v_2) = 0.$$

(2) This is just a simple consequence of Schur's orthogonality relations. □

Let $\chi_1, \chi_2$ be two (unitary) characters of $\mathbf{F}^{\times}$ for a local field $\mathbf{F}$. Let $f \in \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{G}} \pi_{\chi_1, \chi_2} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ be a $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic vector, i.e., $f$ lies in the $\sigma$-isotypic part of $\pi_0$ (isomorphic to $\sigma$) for some $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}}$. It follows that there is a unitary vector $e_{\sigma} = e_{\sigma}(\chi_1, \chi_2) \in \sigma$, unique up to a scalar of module 1, satisfying

$$\sigma(b).e_{\sigma} = \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)e_{\sigma}, \forall b = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & * \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{K},$$

and some $v \in \sigma$ such that

$$f(k) = f(k; v) = \langle k.v, e_\sigma \rangle_\sigma.$$

**Remark 3.2.** *The multiplicity one for branching law, i.e., the uniqueness of $\sigma$ in $\pi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$, is well-knonw for archimedean places. For further references at non-archimedean places, one may see [14, Theorem 5.2 & Remark 5.3]. This is the reason for which we can write $\sigma$ to denote its realization in $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$.*

We denote the corresponding flat section by

$$f_s = f_{s,\chi_1,\chi_2} \in \pi_{s,\chi_1,\chi_2} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\chi_1|\cdot|^s, \chi_2|\cdot|^{-s}).$$

Let $W_f(s, \cdot)$ be the Whittaker function of $f_s$, then we have

$$W_f(s, a(y)k) = \langle k.v, \mathcal{W}(s, a(y); e_\sigma) \rangle_\sigma,$$

where for each $y \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ we have written

$$\mathcal{W}(s, a(y); e_\sigma) = \chi_2(y)|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\bar{s}} \int_{\mathbf{F}} \psi(xy)\mathrm{Ht}(b(wn(x)))^{\frac{1}{2}+\bar{s}}(\chi_1^{-1}, \chi_2^{-1})(b(wn(x)))\kappa(wn(x))^{-1}.e_\sigma dx \in \sigma,$$

and the right hand side is understood with analytic continuation.

**Corollary 3.3.** *We have the following relations:*

$$\int_{\mathbf{K}} W_f(s, a(y)k)\overline{f(k)}dk = \langle e_\sigma, \mathcal{W}(s, a(y); e_\sigma) \rangle_\sigma \cdot \int_{\mathbf{K}} |f(k)|^2 dk;$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{K}} W_f(s_1, a(y)k)\overline{W_f(s_2, a(y)k)}dk = \langle \mathcal{W}(s_2, a(y); e_\sigma), \mathcal{W}(s_1, a(y); e_\sigma) \rangle_\sigma \cdot \int_{\mathbf{K}} |f(k)|^2 dk.$$

*In particular, if $|f(k)|^2 dk = 1$, then the above two integrals are independent of $f \in \sigma$.*

3.2. **Some Computation in the Principal Series Representations.** Locally the Intertwining operator gives (a family in $s$ of) $\mathbf{K}$-module isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}(s) : \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{G}}\pi_{s,\chi_1,\chi_2} \simeq \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{G}}\pi_{-s,\chi_2,\chi_1} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi_2, \chi_1),$$

thus $\sigma$ also appears in $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi_2, \chi_1)$. Assume $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$ on $\mathbf{F}^{(1)} = \{t \in \mathbf{F}^\times : |t|_{\mathbf{F}} = 1\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(s)$ becomes an automorphism on $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi, \chi)$. If $V_\sigma$ is the $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic part of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(\chi, \chi)$ isomorphic to $\sigma$, we must have

$$\mathcal{M}(s)(V_\sigma) = V_\sigma$$

and $\mathcal{M}(s)$ acts as multiplication by a scalar, denoted by

$$\lambda_\sigma(s) = \lambda_\sigma(s; \chi),$$

on $V_\sigma$. Note that the above argument applies equally to the global case.

Recall that locally the $\sigma$ appearing in $\pi_{1,1}$ has a natural numeration as $\sigma = \sigma_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ (c.f. [14, Proposition 5.1 & Theorem 5.2]), we thus write $\lambda_n = \lambda_{\sigma_n}$. The $e_n = e_{\sigma_n}$ defined above coincide with the so called "classical vectors" in [14, Definition 5.4]. We recall their explicit description as follows.

(1) $\mathbf{F}_v = \mathbb{R}$: If $\sigma_n$ is the character

$$\sigma_n(\begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & \sin\alpha \\ -\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}) = e^{in\alpha},$$

then we must have $2 \mid n$ and $f_s(\cdot; e_n)\mid_{s=0}$ is $e_k$ in [14, Section 2.7.1] for $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1, k = n$.

(2) $\mathbf{F}_v = \mathbb{C}$: If $\sigma_n$ is the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on the space of homogeneous polynomials of two variables of degree $n$, then we must have $2 \mid n$ and $f_s(\cdot; e_n) = e_{n,n/2}^{(s,0)}$ defined in [14, Section 2.7.2].

(3) $\mathbf{F}_v$ is non-archimedean: If $e_n$ is invariant by $\mathbf{K}_0[n]$, it is $v_N(\varepsilon_0)$ defined in [14, Proposition 5.1] for $\varepsilon_0 = 1, N = n$.

(4) For $\vec{n} = (n_v)_v$ with $n_v$ as above and $n_v = 0$ for all but finitely many $v$, we write $\sigma_{\vec{n}} = \otimes_v' \sigma_{n_v}, e_{\vec{n}}^{(s)} = \otimes_v' e_{n_v}^{(s)}$ and $\lambda_{\vec{n}}(s) = \Pi_v \lambda_{n_v}(s)$.

We write $\mathcal{M}(s)$ to emphasize the dependence of $\mathcal{M}$ on $s$. Recall from [4, Section 4] that we can write

$$\mathcal{M}(s)=\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)}\mathcal{R}(s)$$

where $\mathcal{R}(s)$ sends the spherical function taking value 1 on $\mathbf{K}$ to the spherical one taking value 1 on $\mathbf{K}$, and is unitary if $s\in i\mathbb{R}$.

**Lemma 3.4.** (0) *At $v<\infty$, the dimension $d_n$ of $\sigma_n$ is given by*

$$d_0=1,d_1=q_v,d_n=q_v^n-q_v^{n-2},n\geq 2.$$

(1) *For any $f\in\sigma_{\vec{n}}\subset\pi_{1,1}$ we have $\mathcal{R}(s)f_s=\mu_{\vec{n}}(s)f_{-s}$ with*

$$\mu_{\vec{n}}(s)=\prod_{v\text{ real}}\left(\prod_{k=0,2|k}^{|n_v|-2}\frac{k+1-2s}{k+1+2s}\right)\prod_{v\text{ complex}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{|n_v|/2}\frac{k-2s}{k+2s}\right)\prod_{v<\infty,n_v>0}\left(q_v^{-2n_vs}\frac{1-q_v^{-(1-2s)}}{1-q_v^{-(1+2s)}}\right).$$

*Consequently we get, writing $S=\{v:n_v\neq 0\}$*

$$\lambda_{\vec{n}}(s)=\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(S)}(1-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(S)}(1+2s)}\prod_{v|\infty,v\in S}\frac{\zeta_v(|n_v|+1-2s)}{\zeta_v(|n_v|+1+2s)}\prod_{v<\infty,v\in S}q_v^{-2n_vs}.$$

(2) *At $v<\infty$, we have for $n\in\mathbb{N}$*

$$a(\varpi_v^{-n}).e_0^{(s_0)}=\sum_{l=0}^{n}c(n,l;s_0)e_l^{(s_0)};a(\varpi_v^{n}).e_0^{(s_0)}=\sum_{l=0}^{n}c(n,l;s_0)w.e_l^{(s_0)};\tag{3.1}$$

*where the coefficients $c(n,l;s_0)$ are given by*

$$c(n,0;s_0)=\frac{q_v^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{1+q_v^{-1}}\left\{\frac{q_v^{(n+1)s_0}-q_v^{-(n+1)s_0}}{q_v^{s_0}-q_v^{-s_0}}-q_v^{-1}\frac{q_v^{(n-1)s_0}-q_v^{-(n-1)s_0}}{q_v^{s_0}-q_v^{-s_0}}\right\};$$

$$c(n,1;s_0)=-\frac{q_v^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}{1+q_v^{-1}}(q_v^{ns_0}-q_v^{-ns_0})\frac{1-q_v^{-1-2s_0}}{1-q_v^{-2s_0}};$$

$$c(n,l;s_0)=-q_v^{-\frac{n-l}{2}}(q_v^{ns_0}-q_v^{(2l-2-n)s_0})\frac{1-q_v^{-1-2s_0}}{1-q_v^{-2s_0}}\sqrt{\frac{q_v-1}{q_v+1}},2\leq l\leq n.$$

(3) *If $a_n$ and $\zeta_n$ satisfy*

$$a_n=\sum_{l=0}^{n}c(n,l;s)\zeta_l.$$

*Then we have $\zeta_0=a_0$,*

$$\zeta_1=\frac{a_1}{c(1,1;s_0)}-\frac{c(1,0;s_0)}{c(1,1;s_0)}a_0;$$

$$\zeta_2=\frac{a_2}{c(2,2;s_0)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{a_1}{c(1,1;s_0)}+\left\{-\frac{c(2,0;s_0)}{c(2,2;s_0)}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{c(1,0;s_0)}{c(1,1;s_0)}\right\}a_0;$$

$$\zeta_3=\frac{a_3}{c(3,3;s_0)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\frac{a_2}{c(2,2;s_0)}+q^{-1-2s_0}(1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{a_1}{c(1,1;s_0)}+$$
$$\left\{-\frac{c(3,0;s_0)}{c(3,3;s_0)}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\frac{c(2,0;s_0)}{c(2,2;s_0)}-q^{-1-2s_0}(1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{c(1,0;s_0)}{c(1,1;s_0)}\right\}a_0;$$

$$\zeta_l=\frac{a_l}{c(l,l;s_0)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\frac{a_{l-1}}{c(l-1,l-1;s_0)}+q^{-1-2s_0}\frac{a_{l-2}}{c(l-2,l-2;s_0)}+$$
$$\left\{-\frac{c(l,0;s_0)}{c(l,l;s_0)}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\frac{c(l-1,0;s_0)}{c(l-1,l-1;s_0)}-q^{-1-2s_0}\frac{c(l-2,0;s_0)}{c(l-2,l-2;s_0)}\right\}a_0,\forall l\geq 4.$$

(4) *At $v<\infty$, let the Whittaker function of $e_l^{(s_0)}$ be $W_l(s_0,\cdot)$. Recall the local zeta function defined by*

$$\zeta(s,W)=\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times}W(a(y))|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}d^\times y.$$

*We write*

$$\zeta_l(s,s_0)=\frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,W_l(s_0,\cdot))}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,W_0(s_0,\cdot))},\zeta_l'(s,s_0)=\frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,w.W_l(s_0,\cdot))}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s,W_0(s_0,\cdot))},\forall l\geq 1.$$

*We then have $\zeta_l'(s,s_0)=\zeta_l(-s,s_0)=:\zeta_{-l}(s,s_0)$;*

$$\zeta(s,W_0(s_0,\cdot))=\zeta(s,w.W_0(s_0,\cdot))=\mathbf{C}(\psi)^{s-s_0-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(s-s_0+\frac{1}{2})\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(s+s_0+\frac{1}{2})}{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_0)};$$

$$\zeta_1(s,s_0)=\frac{q^{-s}}{c(1,1;s_0)}-\frac{c(1,0;s_0)}{c(1,1;s_0)};$$

$$\zeta_2(s,s_0)=\frac{q^{-2s}}{c(2,2;s_0)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{q^{-s}}{c(1,1;s_0)}+\left\{-\frac{c(2,0;s_0)}{c(2,2;s_0)}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{c(1,0;s_0)}{c(1,1;s_0)}\right\}.$$

(5) *At $v<\infty$, we take $f\in\pi_{1,1}$ and consider the Rankin-Selberg local zeta function*

$$\zeta(f_s,W\times W')=\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\times\mathbf{K}}W(a(y)k)W'(a(-y)k)\overline{f(k)}|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}dkd^\times y.$$

*We write for any $f\in\sigma_l$, $\int_{\mathbf{K}}|f(k)|^2dk=1$*

$$\zeta_l(s,s_1,s_2)=\frac{\zeta(f_{\frac{1}{2}+s},W_f(s_1,\cdot)\times W_0(s_2,\cdot))}{\zeta(e_0^{(\frac{1}{2}+s)},W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times W_0(s_2,\cdot))};$$

*and for $n\geq 0$*

$$a_n(s,s_1,s_2)=\frac{q^{-n(s+\frac{1}{2})}}{1-q^{-2-2s}}\cdot\left\{\frac{q^{(n+1)s_2}-q^{-(n+1)s_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}}-q^{-(1+s)}(q^{s_1}+q^{-s_1})\frac{q^{ns_2}-q^{-ns_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}}\right.$$
$$\left.+q^{-(2+2s)}\frac{q^{(n-1)s_2}-q^{-(n-1)s_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}}\right\}.$$

*We then have*

$$\zeta(e_0^{(s)},W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times W_0(s_2,\cdot))=\mathbf{C}(\psi)^{s-1}\cdot$$
$$\frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_1+s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_1+s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s+s_1-s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s-s_1-s_2)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_1)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)};$$

$$\zeta_1(s,s_1,s_2)=q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\left\{\frac{a_1(s,s_1,s_2)}{c(1,1;s_1)}-\frac{c(1,0;s_1)}{c(1,1;s_1)}\right\};$$

$$\zeta_2(s,s_1,s_2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2-1}}\cdot\left\{\frac{a_2(s,s_1,s_2)}{c(2,2;s_1)}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_1}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{a_1(s,s_1,s_2)}{c(1,1;s_1)}\right.$$
$$\left.+\left\{-\frac{c(2,0;s_1)}{c(2,2;s_1)}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_1}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\frac{c(1,0;s_1)}{c(1,1;s_1)}\right\}\right\}.$$

(6) *At $v<\infty$, we similarly consider the following special case of the Rankin-Selberg local zeta function*

$$\zeta(s,W\times\overline{W'})=\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\times\mathbf{K}}W(a(y)k)\overline{W'(a(y)k)}|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}}dkd^\times y.$$

*We write for any* $f \in \sigma_l$, $\int_{\mathbf{K}} |f(k)|^2 dk = 1$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_l(s, s_1, s_2) = \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, W_f(s_1,\cdot) \times \overline{W_f(\overline{s_2}, \cdot)})}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, W_0(s_1,\cdot) \times \overline{W_0(\overline{s_2}, \cdot)})}.$$

*We then have*

$$\zeta(s, W_0(s_1,\cdot) \times \overline{W_0(\overline{s_2}, \cdot)}) = \zeta(e_0^{(s)}, W_0(s_1,\cdot) \times W_0(s_2,\cdot));$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_1(s, s_1, s_2) = \frac{q^s}{c(1,1;s_1)\overline{c(1,1;\overline{s_2})}} \frac{1+q^{-1-2s}}{1+q^{-1}} - \frac{c(1,0;s_1)\overline{c(1,0;\overline{s_2})}}{c(1,1;s_1)\overline{c(1,1;\overline{s_2})}};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\zeta}_2(s, s_1, s_2) = &\frac{1}{c(2,2;s_1)\overline{c(2,2;\overline{s_2})}} \left( q^{2s} \frac{1+q^{-2-4s}}{1+q^{-1}} + q^{-1} \frac{q-1}{q+1} \right) \\ &- q^{-1+s} \frac{(1+q^{-2s_1})(1+q^{-2s_2})}{(1+q^{-1})(1-q^{-1})^2} \frac{1+q^{-1-2s}}{c(1,1;s_1)\overline{c(1,1;\overline{s_2})}} \\ &- \frac{c(2,0;s_1)\overline{c(2,0;\overline{s_2})}}{c(2,2;s_1)\overline{c(2,2;\overline{s_2})}} + q^{-1} \frac{(1+q^{-2s_1})(1+q^{-2s_2})}{1-q^{-2}} \frac{c(1,0;s_1)\overline{c(1,0;\overline{s_2})}}{c(1,1;s_1)\overline{c(1,1;\overline{s_2})}}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (0) is simple.
(1) This is a special case of the computation in [12]. We may prefer another proof in the current situation. The case for $v$ archimedean was already obtained in [14, Section 2.7]. We only need to deal with $v$ non-archimedean, hence we work locally and omit the subscript $v$ for simplicity. We are going to characterize $e_l^{(s)}$ explicitly. In fact, if we write $\mathfrak{o}_n = \varpi^n \mathfrak{o} - \varpi^{n+1}\mathfrak{o}, n \geq 1$, then

$$D_0 = \mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{o}) w \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{o}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{K} : c \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \right\},$$

$$D_n = \mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{o}) \mathbf{N}_-(\mathfrak{o}_n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{K} : c \in \mathfrak{o}_n \right\}, 1 \leq n \leq m,$$

$$D'_m = \mathbf{K}_0[m] = \cup_{n=m}^{\infty} D_n$$

are the double cosets of $\mathbf{K}$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{o})$ and $\mathbf{K}_0[m]$. For any $f \in \pi^{K_0[m]}$, there is a sequence of complex numbers $f_n$ s.t. $f\,|_{D_n} = f_n, 0 \leq n \leq m$ and $f_n = f_m, \forall n \geq m$. We denote the sequence associated with $e_l^{(s)}$ by $a(l,n)$. It is elementary to calculate the mass $w_n$ of $D_n$ assuming the mass of $\mathbf{K}$ is 1. We have

$$w_0 = \frac{q}{q+1}, w_n = \frac{q^{-(n-1)}}{q+1}(1-q^{-1}), n \geq 1.$$

Then $a(l,n)$'s satisfy

(i) $a(l,n) = a(l,l)$ for all $n \geq l$ and $a(0,n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(l,n)\overline{a(l',n)} w_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a(l,n)|^2 w_n = 1$.

A solution is given by

(i) $a(0,n) = 1; a(1,0) = q^{-1/2}, a(1,1) = -q^{1/2}$;
(ii) $a(l,l-1) = q^{\frac{l-2}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}, a(l,l) = -(q-1)q^{\frac{l-2}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{q+1}{q-1}}, a(l,n) = 0, 0 \leq n \leq l-2, l \geq 2$.

In particular, we obtain (0) by noting that $e_n(k) = d_n^{1/2} \langle k.e_n, e_n \rangle_{\sigma_n}, k \in \mathbf{K}$, hence

$$d_n = |e_n(1)|^2 = a(n,n)^2.$$

Since we have

$$\mathcal{M}(s)e_l^{(s)}(n_-(\varpi^n)) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}} e_l^{(s)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -\varpi^n & -1 \\ 1+\varpi^n x & x \end{pmatrix}\right) dx + \int_{|x|>1} |x|^{-2\tau-1} e_l^{(s)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/x+\varpi^n & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) dx,$$

by taking $n = l-1$ if $l > 0$ or $n = 0$ if $l = 0$, we easily obtain and conclude by

$$\mathcal{M}(s)e_0^{(s)} = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{o})\frac{1-q^{-(1+2s)}}{1-q^{-2s}}e_0^{(-s)}, \mathcal{M}(s)e_l^{(s)} = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{o})q^{-2ls}\frac{1-q^{-(1-2s)}}{1-q^{-2s}}e_l^{(-s)}, l \geq 1.$$

(2) We omit the subscript $v$. Since $a(\varpi^{-n}).e_0^{(s_0)}$ is invariant by $a(\varpi^{-n})\mathbf{K}a(\varpi^n) \supset \mathbf{K}_0[n]$, it lies in the span of $e_l^{(s_0)}, 0 \leq l \leq n$. This proves the existence of $c(n,l;s_0) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the first equation in (3.1) is valid. Note that

$$a(\varpi^n).e_0^{(s_0)} = wa(\varpi^{-n})w^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^n & \\ & \varpi^n \end{pmatrix}.e_0^{(s_0)} = wa(\varpi^{-n}).e_0^{(s_0)} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l)w.e_l^{(s_0)},$$

from which we deduce the second equation in (3.1). Evaluating (3.1) at $n_-(\varpi^{n-k}), 0 \leq k \leq n$ we obtain

$$q^{(n-2k)(\frac{1}{2}+s_0)} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_0)a(l,n-k),$$

where $a(l,n-k)$ are given in the proof of (1). We solve the above linear system and get the desired formulas for $c(n,l)$.

(3) We may assume $a_0 = 1$. If we put

$$\tilde{a}_n = \frac{a_n}{c(n,n;s_0)}, \tilde{c}(n,l;s_0) = \frac{c(n,l;s_0)}{c(n,n;s_0)}, \forall 0 \leq l \leq n,$$

then we can rewrite the equations as

$$\tilde{a}_n - \tilde{c}(n,0;s_0) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{c}(n,l;s_0)\zeta_l.$$

From the formulas

$$\tilde{c}(n,l;s_0) = q^{-\frac{n-l}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} q^{-2ks_0}, \forall 2 \leq l \leq n \text{ or } n = l = 1;$$

$$\tilde{c}(n,1;s_0) = (1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2ks_0}, \forall n \geq 2,$$

we verify easily the relations, with the convention $\tilde{c}(n,l;s_0) = 0$ for $n < l$,

$$\tilde{c}(n,l;s_0) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{c}(n-1,l;s_0) + q^{-1-2s_0}\tilde{c}(n-2,l;s_0) = 1_{n=l}, \forall n \geq 4;$$

$$\tilde{c}(3,l;s_0) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{c}(2,l;s_0) + q^{-1-2s_0}(1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{c}(1,l;s_0) = 1_{l=3}.$$

We then get and conclude by

$$\zeta_1 = \tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{c}(1,0;s_0);$$

$$\zeta_2 = \tilde{a}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\tilde{a}_1 + \left\{-\tilde{c}(2,0;s_0) + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1+q^{-2s_0}}{\sqrt{1-q^{-2}}}\tilde{c}(1,0;s_0)\right\};$$

$$\zeta_3 = \tilde{a}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{a}_2 + q^{-1-2s_0}(1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{c}(3,0;s_0) + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{c}(2,0;s_0) - q^{-1-2s_0}(1-q^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{c}(1,0;s_0);$$

$$\zeta_l = \tilde{a}_l - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{a}_{l-1} + q^{-1-2s_0}\tilde{a}_{l-2} - \tilde{c}(l,0;s_0) + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+q^{-2s_0})\tilde{c}(l-1,0;s_0) - q^{-1-2s_0}\tilde{c}(l-2,0;s_0), \forall l \geq 4.$$

(4) The calculation of $\zeta(s, W_0(s_0,\cdot))$ is routine since $W_0(s_0,\cdot)$ is the spherical vector. From (3.1), we get

$$\zeta(s, a(\varpi^{-n})W_0(s_0,\cdot)) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_0)\zeta(s, W_l(s_0,\cdot));$$

$$\zeta(s, a(\varpi^{n})W_0(s_0,\cdot)) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_0)\zeta(s, w.W_l(s_0,\cdot)).$$

Since $\zeta(s, a(\varpi^n)W) = q^{n(s-\frac{1}{2})}\zeta(s,W), n\in\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$q^{-ns} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_0)\zeta_l(s,s_0);$$

$$q^{ns} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_0)\zeta'_l(s,s_0).$$

This implies $\zeta'_l(s,s_0) = \zeta_l(-s,s_0)$. We apply (3) to conclude.
(5) From Proposition 3.3, we deduce

$$\zeta(f_{\frac{1}{2}+s}, W_f(s_1,\cdot)\times W_0(s_2,\cdot)) = d_l^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} W_l(s_1,a(y))W_0(s_2,a(-y))|y|^s d^\times y.$$

By (3.1) we have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(s_1,a(y))W_0(s_2,a(-y))|y|^s d^\times y}{\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} W_0(s_1,a(y))W_0(s_2,a(-y))|y|^s d^\times y} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_1) d_l^{\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_l(s,s_1,s_2). \tag{3.2}$$

We can calculate using [1, Theorem 4.6.5]

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{n(s+\frac{1}{2})}\mathbf{C}(\psi)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(s_1,a(y))W_0(s_2,a(-y))|y|^s d^\times y\\
&= \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s+s_1+s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s-s_1+s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s+s_1-s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s-s_1-s_2)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_1)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s_2)}\cdot\\
&\left\{\frac{q^{(n+1)s_2}-q^{-(n+1)s_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}} - q^{-(1+s)}(q^{s_1}+q^{-s_1})\frac{q^{ns_2}-q^{-ns_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}} + q^{-(2+2s)}\frac{q^{(n-1)s_2}-q^{-(n-1)s_2}}{q^{s_2}-q^{-s_2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that the left hand side of (3.2) is equal to $a_n(s,s_1,s_2)$. We apply (3) and conclude.
(6) Let's write

$$\tilde{a}_n(s,s_1,s_2) = \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times\overline{a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(\overline{s_2},\cdot)})}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times\overline{W_0(\overline{s_2},\cdot)})}.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{K}_0[n] = n_-(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^n)\mathbf{K}_0[n]\sqcup n(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^n)w\mathbf{K}_0[n].$$

For $u\in\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^n$, we have

$$a(\varpi^n)n_-(u)a(\varpi^{-n}) = n_-(u\varpi^{-n}) \in \begin{pmatrix} u\varpi^{-n} & \\ & u\varpi^{-n}\end{pmatrix} n(u^{-1}\varpi^n)a(u^{-2}\varpi^{2n})\mathbf{K}.$$

We deduce that for any $s_0\in\mathbb{C}$

$$W_0(s_0, a(y)n_-(u)\mathbf{K}_0[n]a(\varpi^{-n})) = \psi(yu^{-1})W_0(s_0, a(yu^{-2}\varpi^n)).$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(s_1,a(y)n_-(u)k)\overline{a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(\overline{s_2},a(y)n_-(u)k)}|y|^s d^\times y}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times\overline{W_0(\overline{s_2},\cdot)})} = |u|^{2s}q^{ns}, \forall k\in\mathbf{K}_0[n].$$

Similarly for $u\in\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^n$, we have

$$a(\varpi^n)n(u)wa(\varpi^{-n}) = \begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-n} & \\ & \varpi^{-n}\end{pmatrix} n(u\varpi^n)a(\varpi^{2n})w.$$

We deduce that for any $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$W_0(s_0, a(y)n(u)w\mathbf{K}_0[n]a(\varpi^{-n})) = \psi(yu)W_0(s_0, a(y\varpi^n)).$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(s_1, a(y)n(u)wk)\overline{a(\varpi^{-n}).W_0(\overline{s_2}, a(y)n(u)wk)}|y|^s d^\times y}{\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+s, W_0(s_1,\cdot)\times\overline{W_0(\overline{s_2},\cdot)})} = q^{ns}, \forall k \in \mathbf{K}_0[n].$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}_n(s,s_1,s_2) &= q^{ns}\frac{q}{q+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q^{(n-2k)s}q^{-k}\frac{q-1}{q+1} + q^{-ns}q^{-n}\frac{q}{q+1}\\ &= \begin{cases} q^s\dfrac{1+q^{-1-2s}}{1+q^{-1}} & \text{if } n=1\\ q^{2s}\dfrac{1+q^{-2-4s}}{1+q^{-1}} + q^{-1}\dfrac{1-q^{-1}}{1+q^{-1}} & \text{if } n=2.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) and Proposition 3.1 we get

$$\tilde{a}_n(s,s_1,s_2) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} c(n,l;s_1)\overline{c(n,l;\overline{s_2})}\tilde{\zeta}_l(s,s_1,s_2).$$

Solving the linear system up to $n=2$, we then obatin the formulas for $\tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2$. □

**Corollary 3.5.** *Consider a finite place $v < \infty$.*

(1) *We have* $\sum_{l\le n}|c(n,l;s_0)|^2 = 1$ *for $s_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$, and the bounds for $s_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$ resp. $s_0 \in 1/2 + i\mathbb{R}$*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}c(n,0;s_0)\right| \ll_k n^k q^{-\frac{n-l}{2}}\log^k q \quad \textit{resp.} \quad \left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s_0^k}\,|_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}}\, c(n,0;s_0)\right| \ll_k \log^k q.$$

(2) *We have the bounds for $0 \le n \le 6, l = 1, 2$*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\,|_{s=0}\,\zeta_l(s,0,0)\right| \ll q^{-l}\log^n q.$$

(3) *We have the bounds for $0 \le k_1, k_2 \le 2, l \in \{1,2\}$*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s_1^{k_1}\partial s_2^{k_2}}\,|_{s_1=s_2=\frac{1}{2}}\,\tilde{\zeta}_l(\frac{1}{2},s_1,s_2)\right| \ll_{k_1,k_2} q^{-l}\log^{k_1+k_2} q.$$

(4) *We have the bounds for $0 \le n \le 2$, $|l| \le 1$ and $\Re s = \epsilon$*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s_0^n}\zeta_l(s,s_0)\right| \ll q^{\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}}\log^n q.$$

*Proof.* These are simple consequences of the obtained explicit formulas. □

3.3. **Regularization for a Special Case.** Take $\varphi_j = \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ where

- $f_j \in \sigma_{\vec{n}_j} \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}(1,1)$, where $\vec{n}_j = (n_{j,v})_{v|\infty} \otimes (0)_{v<\infty}$ with $n_{j,v}$ the parameter of $\mathbf{K}_v$-types $\sigma_{\vec{n}_j} = \otimes_{v|\infty}\sigma_{v,n_{j,v}} \otimes_{v<\infty} \sigma_{v,0}$ as introduced before Lemma 3.4;
- $\int_{\mathbf{K}_v} |f_{j,v}(k)|^2 dk = 1$ at each $v \mid \infty$, $f_{j,v}(1) = 1$ at each $v$ such that $n_{j,v} = 0$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ with:

- $t_v = 1$ unless for at most four finite places $v = v_k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$;
- we define $\vec{n}(t) = (n_v)_v$ with $n_v = 0$ if $v \ne v_k$ and at $v = v_k$, $t_v = \varpi_v^{n_v}$ for some $-2 \le n_v \le 2$;
- we have $E \le q_{v_k} \le 2E$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Write $\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}(a(t)\varphi_1 \cdot \overline{\varphi_2})$, $\varphi_t = a(t)\varphi_1 \cdot \overline{\varphi_2} - \mathcal{E}_t$ and $\mathcal{E}_j = \mathcal{E}(|\varphi_j|^2)$ for $j = 1, 2$. We propose to study the $\mathrm{L}^2$-norm of $\varphi_t$ in terms of $E$. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi_t\|^2 = &\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \left(a(t)|\varphi_1|^2 - a(t)\mathcal{E}_1\right)(g)\left(|\varphi_2|^2 - \mathcal{E}_2\right)(g)dg + \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} a(t)|\varphi_1|^2(g)\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\varphi_2|^2(g)a(t)\mathcal{E}_1(g)dg + \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} a(t)\mathcal{E}_1(g)\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\mathcal{E}_t(g)|^2\, dg - 2\Re\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} a(t)\varphi_1(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)\mathcal{E}_t(g)}dg.\end{aligned}$$

To treat the first term, we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \left(a(t)|\varphi_1|^2 - a(t)\mathcal{E}_1\right)(g)\left(|\varphi_2|^2 - \mathcal{E}_2\right)(g)dg \\ =&\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})} a(t)\left(|\varphi_1|^2 - \mathcal{E}_1\right)(g)\left(|\varphi_2|^2 - \mathcal{E}_2\right)(g)dg \\ \leq&\left\|a(t)\left(|\varphi_1|^2 - \mathcal{E}_1\right)\right\| \cdot \left\||\varphi_2|^2 - \mathcal{E}_2\right\| = \left\||\varphi_1|^2 - \mathcal{E}_1\right\| \cdot \left\||\varphi_2|^2 - \mathcal{E}_2\right\|.\end{aligned}$$

To treat the second term, we notice by [13, Proposition 3.21 (2)]

$$\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} a(t)|\varphi_1|^2(g)\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg = \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\varphi_1|^2(g)a(t^{-1})\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg,$$

and by Proposition 3.1 that the above integral only concerns the $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}}$-invariant part of $a(t^{-1})\mathcal{E}_2$. To calculate the $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}}$-invariant part, we introduce $\vec{n}(t) = (n_v)_{v<\infty}$ where $n_v$ is as in the definition of $t$, and $c(\vec{n}(t), 0; s_0) = \Pi_{v<\infty} c_v(|n_v|, 0; s_0)$ where $c_v(|n_v|, 0; s_0)$ is defined in Lemma 3.4 (2). By construction, we can decompose

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{2,k}^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{2,k}(s_0)$ is a regularizing Eisenstein series defined in [13, Definition 3.15] and the superscript $(k)$ means taking derivative $k$ times with respect to $s_0$. We then obtain

$$a(t^{-1})\mathcal{E}_{2,k}^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{\partial^{k-l}}{\partial s_0^{k-l}} \mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}} c(-\vec{n}(t), 0; s_0) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{2,k}^{(l)}(\frac{1}{2}) + \text{ other } \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}} - \text{type}.$$

Together with Corollary 3.5 (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\varphi_1|^2(g)a(t^{-1})\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg \\ =&\sum_{k=0}^{2}\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{\partial^{k-l}}{\partial s_0^{k-l}} \mid_{s_0=\frac{1}{2}} c(-\vec{n}(t), 0; s_0) \int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\varphi_1|^2(g)\mathcal{E}_{2,k}^{(l)}(\frac{1}{2}, g)dg \ll \log^2 E.\end{aligned}$$

The same argument applies to the third and fourth term and gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} |\varphi_2|^2(g)a(t)\mathcal{E}_1(g)dg \ll \log^2 E;$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{G(F)Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} a(t)\mathcal{E}_1(g)\mathcal{E}_2(g)dg \ll \log^2 E.$$

Before entering into the treatment of the last two terms, we need to decompose $a(t)\varphi_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_t$ into $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic parts.

**Definition 3.6.** *We write $\vec{l}=(l_v)_v \le \vec{n}=(n_v)$ to mean at each $v$, $0\le l_v\le n_v$ or $n_v\le l_v\le 0$ according as $n_v\ge 0$ or $n_v\le 0$. For any $\vec{l}=(l_v)_v$ with $l_v=0$ for all but finitely many $v$, we define*

$$\|\vec{l}\|=\sum_v |l_v|.$$

*We write $f_{\vec{l}}=\otimes'_v f_{v,l_v}$ where*

- *At $v<\infty$, with $e_l^{(s_0)}$ introduced in Lemma 3.4 we have*
$$f_{v,l_v}=w.e^{(0)}_{|l_v|} \text{ if } l_v\ge 0; f_{v,l_v}=e^{(0)}_{|l_v|} \text{ if } l_v\le 0.$$
- *At $v\mid\infty$, if $l_v=0$, then $f_{v,l_v}(1)=1$. Otherwise $f_{v,l_v}\in\sigma_{v,l_v}$ with*
$$\int_{\mathbf{K}_v}|f_{v,l_v}(k)|^2dk=1.$$

*We define for $\vec{l}\le\vec{n}(t)$*

$$c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};s_0)=\prod_{v<\infty}c_v(|n_v|,|l_v|;s_0).$$

*We also define for $\vec{l}_1,\vec{l}_2$ the product $\vec{l}=\vec{l}_1\cdot\vec{l}_2$ by imposing $l_v=l_{1,v}l_{2,v}$ at each $v$.*

**Lemma 3.7.** (1) *If we write $f_{1,\vec{l}}(k)=f_1(k_\infty)f_{\vec{l}}(k_{\mathrm{fin}})$ for $k=(k_\infty,k_{\mathrm{fin}})\in\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{K}_\infty\times\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}}$, then we have a decomposition into $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}}$-isotypic parts of*

$$a(t).\varphi_1=\sum_{\vec{l}\le\vec{n}(t)}c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};0)\varphi_{1,\vec{l}},\ \text{with } \varphi_{1,\vec{l}}=\mathrm{E}^*(0,f_{1,\vec{l}}).$$

(2) *We can decompose*

$$f_1\overline{f_2}=\sum_{\vec{n}\le\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}c_{\vec{n}}f_{\vec{n}},c_{\vec{n}}\in\mathbb{C}.$$

(3) *We have an inequality*

$$\left|\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}|\mathcal{E}_t(g)|^2\,dg\right|\ll\log^2 E\cdot\sum_{\vec{n}\le\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}\sum_{\vec{l}\le\vec{n}(t)}E^{\|\vec{l}\|-\|\vec{n}(t)\|}\cdot$$
$$\sum_{k_1,k_2=0}^{2}\left|\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_1)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_2)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)}dg\right|.$$

*Proof.* (1) follows from (3.1) and implies

$$\mathcal{E}_t=\sum_{\vec{l}\le\vec{n}(t)}c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};0)\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}\cdot\overline{\varphi_2}).$$

We calculate the constant terms

$$\varphi_{1,\vec{l},\mathbf{N}}(a(y)k)=\left\{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}}+\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_1+\vec{l}}(0)\right)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}f_{1,\vec{l}}(k),$$

$$\varphi_{2,\mathbf{N}}(a(y)k)=\left\{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}}+\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_2}(0)\right)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}f_2(k),$$

where we recall $\mu_{\vec{n}_2}(s)$ defined in Lemma 3.4 (1). The existence of the decomposition as stated in (2) of $f_1\overline{f_2}$ follows from the fact that at $v\mid\infty$ only $\sigma_{n_v}$ with $0\le n_v\le n_{1,v}n_{2,v}$ or $n_{1,v}n_{2,v}\le n_v\le 0$ appear in $\sigma_{n_{1,v}}\otimes\sigma_{n_{2,v}}$. Since $f_2$ is $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{fin}}$-invariant, this implies

$$f_{1,\vec{l}}\cdot\overline{f_2}=\sum_{\vec{n}\le\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}c_{\vec{n}}f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}.$$

We thus obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}\cdot\overline{\varphi_2})=\sum_{\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}c_{\vec{n}}\left(c_2\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(2)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})+c_1(\vec{l})\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(1)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})+c_0(\vec{l})\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})\right),$$

where we have written

$$c_2=c_2(\vec{l})=|\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)|^2, c_0(\vec{l})=\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_1+\vec{l}}(0)\right)\overline{\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_2}(0)\right)},$$

$$c_1(\vec{l})=\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_1+\vec{l}}(0)\right)\overline{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}+\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\overline{\left(2\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)\mu'_{\vec{n}_2}(0)\right)}.$$

We can apply Proposition 3.1 to see

$$\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}|\mathcal{E}_t(g)|^2\,dg=\sum_{\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)}\sum_{\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}|c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};0)c_{\vec{n}}|^2\sum_{k_1,k_2=0}^{2}c_{k_1}(\vec{l})\overline{c_{k_2}(\vec{l})}\cdot$$
$$\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_1)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_2)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)}dg.$$

Note that $\mu'_{\vec{n}_1+\vec{l}}(0)=\mu'_{\vec{n_1}}(0)+\mu'_{\vec{l}}(0)$ and $\mu'_{\vec{l}}(0)\ll\log E$ by Lemma 3.4 (1), $|c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};0)|\ll E^{-\frac{\|\vec{n}(t)\|-\|\vec{l}\|}{2}}$ by Corollary 3.5 (1). We conclude the proof of (3). □

**Lemma 3.8.** *We can bound for any $\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2,\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)$ and $0\leq k_1,k_2\leq 2$*

$$\left|\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_1)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_2)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)}dg\right|\ll E^{-\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{k_1+k_2}E.$$

*Consequently, we have*

$$\left|\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}|\mathcal{E}_t(g)|^2\,dg\right|\ll E^{-\|\vec{n}(t)\|}\log^6E.$$

*Proof.* To simplify the notation, we write $\varphi=\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_1)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k_2)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})}$. We shall calculate its regularized integral by definition (c.f. [13, Definition 3.12]).

We first consider the degenerate part of the regularized integral. If $\vec{n}+\vec{l}\neq\vec{0}$, we have $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(s,f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})=\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})$ and

$$\mathrm{E}^{(n)}_{\mathbf{N}}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(a(y)k)=\left\{|y|_{\mathbb{A}}\log^n|y|_{\mathbb{A}}+\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mid_{s=0}\left(\frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)}\frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}|y|^{-s}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right\}f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(k).$$

The degenerate part is thus the product of $\zeta^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_1}\binom{k_1}{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1-k}}{\partial s^{k_1-k}}\mid_{s=0}\frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s^k}\mid_{s=0}\frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}\right),$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{k}\left(\overline{\frac{\partial^{k_2-k}}{\partial s^{k_2-k}}\mid_{s=0}\frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)}}\right)\left(\overline{\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s^k}\mid_{s=0}\frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}}\right),$$
$$\text{and }\int_{\mathbf{K}}f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(k)\overline{f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(k)}dk=1.$$

It is bounded, with implied constants depending only on $n,k_1,k_2$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}$, as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_1}\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s^k}\mid_{s=0}\frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}\right|\sum_{k=0}^{k_2}\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s^k}\mid_{s=0}\frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}\right|\ll E^{-2\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{k_1+k_2+2-2\|\vec{n}+\vec{l}\|}E\cdot 1_{\substack{k_1\geq\|\vec{n}+\vec{l}\|-1\\k_2\geq\|\vec{n}+\vec{l}\|-1}}.$$

If $\vec{n}+\vec{l}=\vec{0}$ i.e., $\vec{n}=\vec{l}=0$, then the degenerate part vanishes since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(1/2,f_0)(a(y)k)$ has no component constant in $|y|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Now we consider the principal part. Let $W_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(s,\cdot)$ be the Whittaker function of $f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}$. We can write

$$R(\frac{1}{2}+s,\varphi)=\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s_1^{k_1}\partial s_2^{k_2}}\mid_{s_1=s_2=0}\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times\times\mathbf{K}}W_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s_1,a(y)k)\overline{W_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+\overline{s_2},a(y)k)}|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^s d^\times y dk.$$

Note that the above integral is the finite product of

$$\frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(2+s+s_1+s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(s-s_1-s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s+s_1-s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(1+s+s_2-s_1)}{\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s_1)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s_2)\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)};$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times\times\mathbf{K}_v}W_{v,n_v}(\frac{1}{2}+s_1,a(y)k)\overline{W_{v,n_v}(\frac{1}{2}+\overline{s_2},a(y)k)}|y|_v^s d^\times y dk, v\mid\infty;$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{v,|l_v|}(s,\frac{1}{2}+s_1,\frac{1}{2}+s_2), v<\infty, l_v\neq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_{v,|l_v|}$ is defined in Lemma 3.4 (6). Using Corollary 3.5 (3), we obtain and conclude by

$$\left|\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}R(s,\varphi)\right|\ll_{\mathbf{F},\vec{n}_1,\vec{n}_2,k_1,k_2}E^{-\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{k_1+k_2}E.$$

□

**Lemma 3.9.** *With notations as in Lemma 3.7, we have an inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}a(t)\varphi_1(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)\mathcal{E}_t(g)}dg\ll\log^2 E\cdot\sum_{\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}\sum_{\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)}E^{\|\vec{l}\|-\|\vec{n}(t)\|}\cdot$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{2}\left|\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)}\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)}dg\right|.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to apply Proposition 3.1 to get

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}a(t)\varphi_1(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)\mathcal{E}_t(g)}dg=\sum_{\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2}\sum_{\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)}c_{\vec{n}}|c(\vec{n}(t),\vec{l};0)|^2\cdot$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{2}c_n(\vec{l})\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)}\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)}dg.$$

□

**Lemma 3.10.** *We can bound for any $\vec{n}\leq\vec{n}_1\cdot\vec{n}_2,\vec{l}\leq\vec{n}(t)$ and $0\leq n\leq 2$*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)}\overline{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n)}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})(g)dg}\right|\ll E^{-\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{n+4}E$$

*Consequently, we have*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}a(t)\varphi_1(g)\overline{\varphi_2(g)\mathcal{E}_t(g)}dg\right|\ll E^{-\|\vec{n}(t)\|}\log^8 E.$$

*Proof.* With the notation of [13, Theorem 1.1], we are to bound for $0\leq n\leq 2$

$$\ell(0,\mathrm{reg},n;\overline{\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}},\varphi_2,\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2},f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})).$$

By [13, Theorem 1.1 & 1.2], it suffices to bound

$$\frac{1}{(n+4)(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)}\frac{\partial^{n+4}}{\partial s^{n+4}}\mid_{s=0}s^4c_{\mathbf{F}}(s)\ell(s,\mathrm{RS};\overline{\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}},\varphi_2,\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}+s,f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})),$$

$$\ell(\vec{\epsilon}, \mathrm{dgn}; \overline{\varphi_{1,\vec{l}}}, \varphi_2, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}+s, f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}})), \epsilon_3 \in \{*\} \cup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq k \leq n+3\}.$$

The Rankin-Selberg trilinear form $\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$ is given by the finite product of

$$\frac{\zeta_v(2+2s)}{\zeta_v(1+s)^4} \int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times \times \mathbf{K}_v} \overline{W_{1,v}(a(y)k)} W_{2,v}(a(y)k) f_{v,n_v}(k)|y|_v^\times dk, v \mid \infty;$$

$$\zeta_{v,|l_v|}(\frac{1}{2}+s, 0, 0), v < \infty, l_v \neq 0.$$

From Corollary 3.5 (2), we deduce that the first term is bounded by $E^{-\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{n+4} E$. The degenerate trilinear forms are essentially given by

$$\mu'_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(0)\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial s^k}\mid_{s=0} \frac{2s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)} \frac{\mu_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{2s}\right) \int_{\mathbf{K}} \overline{f_{1,\vec{l}}(k)} f_2(k) f_{\vec{n}+\vec{l}}(k) dk, 0 \leq k \leq n+3,$$

which are also bounded by $E^{-\|\vec{l}\|}\log^{n+4} E$ using Lemma 3.4 (1). We conclude the proof. □

Combining all the above bounds, we have proved

**Lemma 3.11.** *With notations introduced in the beginning of this subsection, we have*

$$\|\varphi_t\| \ll \log E,$$

*where the implied constant depends only on $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$.*

## References


[1] Bump, D. *Automorphic Forms and Representations*. No. 55 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[2] Burgess, D. On character sums and $L$-series II. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society s3-13*, 1 (1963), 524–536.

[3] Conrey, J., and Iwaniec, H. The cubic moment of central values of automorphic $L$-functions. *Annals of Mathematics 151* (2000), 1175–1216.

[4] Gelbart, S. S., and Jacquet, H. Forms of GL(2) from the analytic point of view. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* (1979), vol. 33, pp. 213–251.

[5] Heath-Brown, D. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet $L$-functions. *Inventiones mathematicae 47* (1978), 149–170.

[6] Heath-Brown, D. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet $L$-functions II. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 2*, 31 (1980), 157–167.

[7] Huxley, M., and Watt, N. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet's $L$-function. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 129*, 3 (Nov. 2000), 385–415.

[8] Jacquet, H. Integral representation of Whittaker functions. In *Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry & Number Theory*, H. Hida, D. Ramakrishnan, and F. Shahidi, Eds. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004, ch. 15, pp. 373–419.

[9] Michel, P., and Venkatesh, A. The subconvexity problem for $GL_2$. *Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS 111*, 1 (June 2010), 171–271.

[10] Milićević, D. Sub-Weyl subconvexity for Dirichlet $L$-functions to prime power moduli. *Compositio Mathematica 152*, 04 (Apr. 2016), 825–875.

[11] Wu, H. A note on "Burgess-like subconvex bounds for $GL_2 \times GL_1$". *In preparation*.

[12] Wu, H. A note on spectral analysis for $\mathrm{GL}_2$: I. arXiv: 1609.08742.

[13] Wu, H. A note on spectral analysis for $\mathrm{GL}_2$: II. arXiv: 1610.00230.

[14] Wu, H. Burgess-like subconvex bounds for $GL_2 \times GL_1$. *Geometric and Functional Analysis 24*, 3 (2014), 968–1036.

[15] Young, M. P. Weyl-type hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted $L$-functions and Heegner points on shrinking sets. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* (to appear).

Han WU
302, Jin Chun Yuan West Building
YMSC, Tsinghua University
10084, Beijing
China
wuhan1121@yahoo.com